



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY PLANNING, HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT
Neighborhood Services Division

Courthouse Plaza One 2100 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22201
TEL 703.228.3830 FAX 703.228.3834 www.arlingtonva.us

DRAFT

MINUTES OF THE HISTORICAL AFFAIRS AND LANDMARK REVIEW BOARD

**Wednesday, February 21, 2018
2100 Clarendon Boulevard
Lobby Rooms Cherry and Dogwood**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Charles Craig
Robert Dudka
Sarah Garner
Carmela Hamm
Gerry Laporte
Joan Lawrence, Chairman
Sara Steinberger
Mark Turnbull
Andrew Wenchel
Richard Woodruff, Vice Chairman

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Mitchell Zink

STAFF: Cynthia Liccese-Torres, Historic Preservation Coordinator
Rebeccah Ballo, Historic Preservation Planner
John Liebertz, Historic Preservation Planner
Kyle Fisher, Historic Preservation Management Intern

ROLL CALL & CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM. Mr. Liebertz called the roll and determined there was a quorum.

CALL FOR PUBLIC SPEAKERS

The Chairman called for public speakers. There were none.

APPROVAL OF THE JANUARY 17, 2018, MEETING MINUTES

The Chairman called for any changes to the January 17, 2018, draft meeting minutes. Mr. Dudka and Mr. Laporte both suggested minor edits. Mr. Craig made a motion to approve the January 17, 2018 minutes, with the changes as noted, and Ms. Garner seconded the motion. The motion passed 7-0-3, with Mr. Turnbull, Mr. Woodruff, and Ms. Hamm abstaining.

PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS (CoAs)

The Chairman stated there were three items on the Consent Agenda:

1. Ballston Retail, LLC
C/O Paradigm Management
237 North Glebe Road and 4235 North Pershing Drive
CoA 16-20C (HP1700061)
Buckingham Village Historic District
Request to amend CoA 16-20 for revisions to the approved dumpster enclosures.

2. Aruna Viswanatha & Joydip Kundu
2332 North Fillmore Street
CoA 18-02 (HP1700060)
Maywood Historic District
Request to install a handrail on an exterior stair.

3. Alison & Jarrod Tsukada
2330 North Jackson Street
CoA 18-04 (HP1800003)
Maywood Historic District
Request to remove a chimney on the rear slope of the roof.

Mr. Liebertz noted that an earlier version of the meeting agenda also included a “request to install a skylight” for item #3. Mr. Liebertz said the owners did not obtain the materials in time and will return to the DRC to discuss the skylight. The Chairman noted the change. She called for a motion to approve the amended consent agenda. Mr. Turnbull moved to approve the amended consent agenda and Mr. Woodruff seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

The Chairman stated there was one Administrative CoA on the Consent Agenda:

1. Christopher & Anna Cahill
1746 North Rhodes Street #312
ACoA 18-01 (HP1800001)
Colonial Village Historic District
Request to replace eight windows.

The Chairman asked Mr. Liebertz if there were any comments he would like to make regarding the application. Mr. Liebertz stated that the request met the Colonial Village Design Guidelines for window replacements.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

The Chairman stated there were no CoA requests on the Discussion Agenda.

DISCUSSION ITEM: RENAMING OF OAKGROVE PARK

The Chairman called for public speakers to introduce the first discussion item, the renaming of Oakgrove Park.

Harry Spector, Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee Representative for the Cherrydale Neighborhood, presented a request to rename “Oakgrove Park” to “Oak Grove Park.” Mr. Spector informed the board that “Oak Grove” is the correct historical and grammatical name of the park. The Cherrydale Citizens’ Association would like to rename the park in keeping with the historic and grammatically accurate nomenclature.

Mr. Liebertz stated that the HALRB is required to make recommendations to the Park and Recreation Commission regarding the naming or renaming of parks.

Ms. Steinberger asked how many park signs would need to be corrected. Mr. Spector replied there were two small signs and one larger sign. Mr. Spector had already spoken with Tim McIntosh of the Neighborhood Conservation Program who informed him there is funding to change the two smaller signs.

The Chairman called for a motion.

Mr. Woodruff motioned that the HALRB recommend to the Park and Recreation Commission that the name of the park be renamed to “Oak Grove Park.”

The motion passed unanimously 10-0.

The Chairman informed Mr. Spector that the board would send a letter to the Park and Recreation Commission, with a copy to Mr. Spector, stating the board’s recommendation that the name of the park be renamed “Oak Grove Park.”

DISCUSSION ITEM: 4333 ARLINGTON BOULEVARD – RED CROSS/TRENTON STREET, SITE PLAN #446

The Chairman called for presenters for the next item.

Mr. Liebertz provided background information and noted that this was the applicant’s third HALRB presentation. He reminded the board of its previous comments and recommendations made at the last meeting regarding the proposed townhouses and apartment complex. Mr. Liebertz stated that while this would be the HALRB’s first opportunity to comment on the landscape plan, the applicant has addressed staff concerns about the number of ornamental tree plantings in proximity to the garden apartment buildings.

Jeremy Arnold of Bonstra Haresign Architects summarized the changes made since the last presentation. He mentioned the walking tour to review the topography of the site that several members of the board had participated in last month. Mr. Arnold stated that the overall site plan did not change since the last presentation.

A member of the development team introduced the modified planting plan. He stated the following: 1) the landscape architects removed almost all of the ornamental trees on the Whitefield Commons property to preserve the sense of open space; 2) the ornamental trees were moved to the periphery of the site and spaced according to County standards; 3) additional canopy trees were added to the site; and 4) efforts were made to limit the area of disturbance to save trees.

The Chairman asked how many existing trees will be preserved as of this time.

The member of the development team responded that not many on the Trenton residences side will be preserved.

Mr. Craig asked what species of ornamental trees will be planted

Sara Mariska, attorney from Walsh-Colucci, Lubeley & Walsh and representative for the developer, Wesley Housing, commented that tree species and locations will be determined at a later date in the final landscape plan.

Jeremy Potter of WC Ralston presented the revised plan for the townhouses. He pointed out that the townhouses have been grouped to avoid the step-like appearance of the earlier designs. He stated that three in the center of the complex are at the same elevation, with a pair at the same elevation on both sides of the three, and bookended with two units at different elevations. A beltcourse runs along the front of the interior townhouses, but is omitted on the end units and on the rear row of buildings. The trim around the doors and windows is uniformly white.

The Chairman commented that the current design is a significant improvement over the earlier designs.

Mr. Wenchel expressed his continued concern that there are too many trees that will be removed. He suggested moving the townhouses back from North Taylor Street to save some of the large trees on the site. He stated there could be other ways to group the townhouses to make an open courtyard and avoid the loss of trees. Additionally, he believed that the density of the townhouses is too high and thus compromises some of the trees. He added that if fewer townhouses were included and reoriented, more trees could be saved. Mr. Wenchel stated such changes could increase the value of the townhouses.

The Chairman asked about the timeline for the remainder of the project.

Ms. Mariska responded that the applicant has completed all required SPRC meetings. The team is scheduled to appear before the Planning Commission on April 9 or 11, 2018. She added that the number (19) of townhouses is critically important for the project to remain affordable housing. With some adjustments to the site plan, the design team was able to save two large trees on the periphery of the property.

Andrew Rosenberger with Madison Townhomes discussed the townhouse portion of the project. He stated that the design team moved the original location of the townhouses about 4-5 feet from the south and that two large white oaks in the front currently will be removed as they are susceptible to damage from the sidewalk.

Mr. Turnbull stated that the applicant has made successful changes to the original plans based on the HALRB's feedback.

The Chairman commented that she did not find it ideal to have townhouses as part of the plan, but she recognized this was a necessary part of the project.

Mr. Wenchel repeated that changing the distance of the townhouses to Taylor Street would help save more trees and contribute to the overall green space. He reiterated the following: 1) eliminating two townhouses would also create more open space; and 2) changing the orientation of the townhouses and reducing density would help make the townhouses more compatible with other buildings in Arlington Oaks.

The Chairman asked Mr. Rosenberger if the design team had examined different layouts for the townhouses.

Mr. Rosenberger replied that they had considered a proposal from CPHD staff for a U-shaped townhouse arrangement. This, however, would expose the garages on the Trenton Street side to Arlington Oaks and Whitefield Commons, as well as add more paved space, which the design team did not find desirable.

Ms. Ballo stated that there had not been an iterative design review process for staff's proposed U-shape design for the townhouses, although CPHD staff had recommended changes at subsequent points in the review process.

Ms. Mariska noted that the design team had considered certain limitations of construction cost, the number of units, marketability, retaining the sanitary easement, etc. that imposed restrictions on different designs for the townhouses.

The Chairman called for additional comments or questions. There were none.

Mr. Arnold presented proposed views of each elevation. The only change on the front façade was the addition of entry signage. Mr. Arnold explained that after discussion with Justin Falango, the County Architect, the design team decided to make more differentiation between the brick portion and the stucco portion of the building to distinguish between the "historic" and the "modern." Instead of making the stucco "run over" the brick as previously designed, Mr. Arnold showed a new version that makes a more distinguishable break between the brick portions and the stucco portions on all elevations.

Ms. Steinberger asked if the design of the loading dock had changed. Mr. Arnold replied that they had changed the design from a single large door to two smaller doors. He stated that the design team made this change to better accommodate trucks.

Mr. Craig asked about the durability of the stucco, considering the reduced plinth area.

Mr. Arnold replied that the stucco is approximately three feet to grade at minimum. Rob McClennan of Bonstra Haresign Architects added that the stucco will be applied in two or three coats, ensuring its durability.

Mr. Arnold continued that the most significant change was to the North Trenton Street elevation. He stated that the design team moved the brick over to simplify the design and reduce the mass of brick on the North Trenton Street corner.

Mr. McClennan stated that in his opinion, while some trees would be removed, the new trees that are proposed along the sidewalk will create a better pedestrian experience.

The Chairman asked how large in diameter the proposed trees will be.

The member of the development team replied they will be planted when approximately two-and-a-half to three feet in diameter.

Mr. McClennan added that the renderings show the trees when they have approximately five years of maturity.

Mr. Woodruff inquired what species of tree were proposed.

The member of the development team responded that the species have yet to be determined, but they likely would be from the red oak family.

Addressing details, Mr. Arnold mentioned that the quoins on the corners will be of the same brick, pulled out three-quarters of an inch. He added that the “ironspots” at the windows are intended to give a faux shutter look and that the trim pieces at the water table and attic story string course are now proposed as cast stone, in keeping with St. Thomas More School across the street.

Mr. Craig asked if the “ironspots” were recessed from the red brick. Mr. McClennan replied that they were recessed one-half of an inch.

Mr. Wenchel stated that he was pleased with the lowered corner and that the choice of materials lowered the entire building visually as well.

Mr. Turnbull asked if some of the field stone at the base of the Red Cross building’s walls could be salvaged. Mr. Liebertz responded that salvaging materials is a typical site plan condition. Staff normally makes a site visit prior to demolition to see what may be salvageable from historic buildings.

Mr. McClennan said that it may be difficult to salvage the material at the base, but there are a few field stone walls that may be easier to salvage. Mr. Liebertz agreed.

Mr. Craig asked Mr. Potter to present the brick samples for the townhouses.

Mr. Potter presented the sample boards, which included red, brown, a lighter brown in the center, and a tan for the belt course.

Mr. Craig asked how these colors related to the neighborhood. Mr. Potter responded that the design team decided to use a lighter color palette in response to the comments at the previous two HALRB meetings that the color scheme was too dark.

Ms. Ballo commented that Steven Hughes, Chair of the SPRC, had received comments from the public regarding the proposed color palette of the townhouses. Mr. Hughes stated that the SPRC deferred to the HALRB on this matter. Ms. Ballo invited the HALRB's comments on the proposed color palette.

Ms. Steinberger asked Mr. Potter to present the proposed brick colors once again. Ms. Steinberger said she found the lightest brown proposed for the center units inappropriate for this building.

Ms. Ballo asked the board to consider whether the color palette should essentially match that of the Buckingham Village apartments or be distinct – yet compatible – with Buckingham Village.

Mr. Potter stated that WC Ralston had pursued the second option – distinct yet compatible.

Mr. Woodruff asked what the original color palette looked like.

Mr. Potter replied that it was a much darker reddish-brown.

Mr. Craig said he thought the townhouses still looked much the same as they had before. He stated that the color palette should be darkened to make the townhouses more compatible with the surrounding architecture.

Mr. Woodruff said he agreed with Mr. Craig regarding the darker brick.

Ms. Steinberger remarked she was pleased with the changes made to the townhouse design, but thought a more uniform color palette would be more fitting.

Mr. Dudka stated that a single darker red color would be more appropriate. The Chairman asked Mr. Dudka if he preferred a single color of brick. He replied he did, especially to mimic the use of a single brick color used historically in this area.

The Chairman called for everyone to provide any final feedback on the Red Cross/Trenton Street Site Plan.

The Chairman stated the following:

- 1) the design of the townhouses and multi-family building significantly improved over the course of the three HALRB meetings;
- 2) the townhouses still need improvement, especially by making the brick color darker and more uniform; and
- 3) it is unfortunate more trees cannot be saved. However, replacement trees will be planted and should be done so in a thoughtful manner with respect to the garden apartment landscape.

The Chairman added she would incorporate all board comments in a letter to the applicant.

Mr. Craig stated the following:

- 1) the design of the multi-family building and townhouses have improved;
- 2) the townhouses need a uniform dark brick to complement the surrounding garden apartments; and
- 3) the new trees on the site should grow quickly and fill in the space.

Ms. Hamm stated that she supported a uniform dark brick for the townhouses.

Mr. Laporte said he was supportive of the proposed designs. He stated that the buildings were compatible with the surrounding historic buildings, and given the challenges of this project, believed the design team had created an overall successful project.

Mr. Turnbull stated that he also favored a uniform darker brick for the townhouses.

Mr. Wenchel stated the following suggestions:

- 1) a revised orientation for the townhouses to save more trees;
- 2) continuation of the belt course on the townhouse nearest to Arlington Oaks to ensure compatibility; and
- 3) reduction of the scale of the townhouses nearest Arlington Oaks.

Ms. Steinberger stated that she favored a uniform darker brick for the townhouses and that she was supportive of the overall design.

Mr. Dudka seconded Mr. Craig's comments.

Ms. Garner stated that the color choice for the townhouses had been much improved, but a uniform darker brick color would also help make the townhouses more compatible.

Mr. Woodruff stated the following:

- 1) a darker uniform brick color for the townhouses was preferred; and
- 2) the existing white oaks slated to be removed are in declining health and the proposed red oaks are an appropriate replacement.

The Chairman thanked the applicants and asked if they had any questions for the board or staff. The applicants did not have any questions.

DISCUSSION ITEM: NATIONAL REGISTER CLASSIFICATION OF 2314 NORTH KENMORE STREET (MAYWOOD HISTORIC DISTRICT)

The Chairman asked Tom and Chrissi Gelson, contract purchasers of 2314 North Kenmore Street, to introduce themselves.

Mr. Liebertz introduced the discussion item and forthcoming CoA application for the subject property. He stated that when the house was surveyed for the Maywood National Register Historic District nomination, the ca. 1910 house was classified as a contributing resource to the historic district. He explained that staff and the board, using the *Maywood Design Guidelines*, have treated alterations to houses differently based on whether the property is listed as contributing or non-contributing in the National Register nomination.

Mr. Liebertz showed research demonstrating the significant changes to the house over time that transformed it from a one-story bungalow into a two-story house with an additional bay on the north elevation. He stated that the only original portion of the house remaining is the gabled porch roof and fenestration from the first period of construction on the first story. He determined the significant changes occurred in the 1940s and 1950s. Mr. Liebertz concluded that the present house has undergone such extensive modifications since its original construction that it has lost its historic integrity and should be classified as a non-contributing resource. While not seeking to change the National Register nomination classification, staff recommends the board treat the property as a non-contributing resource. This would provide direction to the DRC and HPP staff on how to offer appropriate design recommendations.

Mr. Woodruff asked what standards a non-contributing building has to conform to. Mr. Liebertz replied that every house in Maywood has to conform to the *Maywood Design Guidelines*.

Ms. Steinberger asked if the house could still be considering contributing despite its alterations since these changes occurred potentially within the period of significance of the Maywood Historic District. Mr. Liebertz replied that the changes that have occurred to the building have compromised its historic integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling.

The Chairman stated that there may be other contributing houses in the Maywood Historic District that have lost their historic integrity and treating this house as non-contributing may set a precedent.

Ms. Garner asked how the board could treat the house as non-contributing without updating the National Register nomination.

Mr. Liebertz responded that the National Register nomination serves as guidance to the HALRB. There are instances, particularly with outbuildings, where the board has treated contributing resources in a different manner.

Mr. Dudka stated that given the extensive changes to the house, it is now the most original portion of the building (the porch) that is non-contributing, despite the fact that the porch is

oldest. He stated that the majority of the changes may have occurred during the period of significance. Mr. Dudka stated he would find removing the original porch acceptable and approving rehabilitation in the context of the mid-twentieth century alterations.

Ms. Steinberger said that the board may set a precedent by allowing significant changes to facades of contributing resources.

Ms. Ballo said that a Maywood house like this, where major changes have occurred without knowledge of the staff, is uncommon. The HPP staff has more thoroughly studied the architectural history of most of the homes in Maywood as a result of requests for alterations. She added it is unlikely, therefore, that this case will set a precedent.

The Chairman took a straw poll and asked which members thought the house should remain contributing. Eight members raised their hands. The Chairman then asked which members thought the house should be reclassified as non-contributing. Two members raised their hands.

Mr. Laporte asked about the relationship between eligibility for tax credits and classification in the National Register of Historic Places.

Mr. Liebertz replied that a contributing building is eligible to receive a 25 percent state tax credit and a non-contributing building is eligible to receive a 10 percent federal tax credit, but the 10 percent tax credit may have been removed with the new tax law. Ms. Liccese-Torres reminded the board that the Virginia Department of Historic Resources controls tax credit eligibility.

Mr. Laporte stated that the board should treat the structure consistently for the purposes of tax credit eligibility, as well as for the purposes of rehabilitation.

Mr. Gelson stated that based on his architect's professional opinion, the original gabled roof and porch need to be moved and the façade fenestration pattern changed to make the house suitable for rehabilitation.

Mr. Laporte commented that the applicants were willing to invest in the rehabilitation of the house at 2314 North Kenmore Street. The board should consider what is best to help them in this noteworthy objective, which may include allowing for the relocation of the gabled roof and porch and changed fenestration.

Mr. Woodruff concurred.

Mr. Turnbull concurred and added that it is significant that the applicant wants to invest in preserving a historic Maywood home.

Ms. Ballo stated that the board must treat the house at 2314 North Kenmore Street as a non-contributing resource in the Maywood National Register Historic District in order to allow the alterations that the applicant has proposed. She added if the board decided to continue to treat the house as contributing, the applicant's proposed alterations would be impermissible according to the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and the *Maywood Design Guidelines*.

Ms. Garner said she was hesitant to consider the house non-contributing because of its listing in the National Register of Historic Places as a contributing resource.

Ms. Steinberger asked if it was possible to de-list the property as contributing in the National Register.

Mr. Liebertz replied that it was possible to de-list a property, but unnecessary for the purposes of this process. Ms. Liccese-Torres said that such an action may have unintended consequences for the rest of the Maywood Historic District.

Mr. Dudka asked staff if the board had ever approved the relocation of windows and/or doors.

Ms. Ballo replied that there were several cases where the board had approved such work on rear or side elevations. Mr. Liebertz cautioned about relying on examples from past boards who operated under different guidelines and standards.

Mr. Dudka said that it would be appropriate for the applicant to make the proposed changes to the house, including removing the original gabled roof, while it is still treated as a contributing structure.

Ms. Ballo reiterated that the existing house must be preserved if it is to be treated as a contributing resource. If not, it must be treated as non-contributing resource.

Mr. Wenchel proposed retaking the straw poll. He stated that the major issue with this house was that the interior and exterior do not harmonize due to years of inappropriate alterations. Mr. Wenchel stated that a final finding must be carefully written to avoid setting a precedent for changing the design review process with respect to the National Register listing. He added it would be better for the preservation of the house itself to consider the applicant's proposed alterations.

Mr. Dudka commented that it may in fact be easier for the house's overall preservation to treat the house as a non-contributing resource to the historic district. He agreed with Mr. Wenchel that the interior and exterior of the house need to be harmonized. He observed that the applicant was willing to preserve the house.

Mr. Laporte stated he would be supportive of a motion to treat the house as non-contributing for the purposes of accomplishing the proposed rehabilitation.

The Chairman motioned to "Treat the property as a non-contributing structure for the purposes of the proposed renovation."

The motion passed unanimously 10-0.

Mr. Dudka asked the applicants to consider in greater detail the changes they would like to make to the house before scheduling the next meeting with the Design Review Committee. Mr. Dudka commended staff for bringing this issue before the board in advance.

The Chairman thanked the applicants.

HISTORIC MARKER: ALCOVA HOUSE

Kyle Fisher, Historic Preservation Management Intern, presented a draft of a new marker for the Alcova local historic district. He stated that the proposed marker corrects several factual errors on the existing sign. Staff decided to use a table-top marker design that accommodates images and text, rather than the cast aluminum marker presently at the site. Staff has worked with the property owners to design the marker. The owners favor the table top design and the Arlington County blue color for the background, which is also on other markers the HALRB has recently approved. Pending approval from the board, staff will send the final version for manufacture, which is expected to take approximately 4-6 weeks. The County anticipates hosting a marker dedication in Spring 2018.

Mr. Laporte presented several corrections and suggested edits to the draft marker text. Mr. Laporte suggested the proper name for the site is “Alcova house.”

Ms. Steinberger presented two comments on word order.

Mr. Turnbull agreed with striking the language in the first paragraph about the house’s location at “the intersection of Columbia Pike and present-day South Glebe Road.”

Mr. Fisher explained that the reference to the intersection is meant to help orient readers to the location, as well as refer to an historic crossroads that preceded the house.

Mr. Laporte suggested introducing the house’s location at the historic intersection at the beginning of the first paragraph.

Ms. Garner commented on the inclusion of the word “house” in the title; such an inclusion is not generally in keeping with the historic title of estates. She recommended making the “h” in “house” lower case to be clear.

Mr. Woodruff asked what was known of the house’s first owner, William Berryman.

Mr. Fisher replied that research had yielded very little about him.

Mr. Woodruff asked if the date of death for Julia Baldwin was available.

Mr. Fisher replied that could be added.

Mr. Laporte said that if the word “house” used a lower-case “h” in the proper noun, it should be written “the Alcova house.”

Ms. Ballo and Ms. Liccese-Torres agreed.

Ms. Garner asked if the photograph at the bottom center was available in a higher quality.

Mr. Fisher replied that this is the only photograph available of the house at this time.

Ms. Steinberger asked if the revised version could be emailed to the board for one last chance to review it and provide comments. Mr. Fisher agreed.

CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

The Chairman read a statement regarding the Westover Local Historic District designation:

As those of you who were present will recall, the HALRB heard public comments regarding a request to designate as an Arlington local historic district all of the residential and commercial properties within the proposed Westover Study Area at a public hearing in November of 2016. After considering the staff report and the public testimony, the HALRB voted to direct the Historic Preservation Program staff to study the historic significance of only the garden apartment buildings located in the proposed Westover Study Area in conjunction with the concurrent study by other County staff to preserve market rate affordable housing. Work on an initial phase of this process, which involved the Historic Preservation Program, Housing, and other County staff, led to the County-wide creation of Housing Conservation Districts that would include garden apartments in Westover, by the County Board in December of 2017. A working group has just started considering the next phase of the process, which is directed at implementing the Housing Conservation Districts to preserve both market rate affordable housing and the historic garden apartment buildings that provide this housing. The direction of that process should be apparent within the next few months.

The HALRB will consider the local historic designation of the garden apartment buildings in the proposed Westover Study Area, including those listed on the Historic Resources Inventory, at its regular public meeting on Wednesday May 16, 2018. Staff is requested to place this matter on the discussion agenda for the May 16 HALRB meeting. Staff is also requested to prepare and present a written memo directed to local historic designation of the garden apartment buildings in the Westover Study Area with options for possible local historic district boundaries for HALRB consideration at the public hearing to be held on May 16. Design guidelines may vary for buildings within the possible local historic district boundaries and will not be available until the HALRB has considered the options presented for possible local historic district boundaries.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Ms. Steinberger reported on the activities of the World War I Task Force. The nominated World War I decal in the student decal competition did not win. The Task Force is planning an event for Veterans Day 2018. Ms. Steinberger will send an email to the HALRB regarding upcoming World War I related events at Arlington Public Library.

Ms. Hamm reported on the activities of the Black Heritage Museum of Arlington, Virginia. The Museum is seeking County support to install a memorial at Freedman's Village.

The Chairman asked for a HALRB member to volunteer as a representative on the Fire Station 8 heritage task force group. She asked anyone interested in volunteering to notify the Chairman via email by February 22, 2018.

The meeting adjourned at 10:31 PM.