

Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee
June 8, 2017

Meeting Minutes - Draft

Meeting location: Navy League Building
2300 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22201

1. Roll Call and Brief Neighborhood Report
 - a. Waverly Hills CA – Alex Luchenitser and Paul Bolejack will switch roles this coming year. Alex will be the Representative to the NCAC and Paul will be the Alternate.

Paul shared concerns residents in his civic association have expressed over new no parking signs and reductions in street parking as a result of NC projects in their neighborhood. He asked if an agenda item could be added to a future NCAC meeting to consider a policy on how street parking is addressed on projects.

Tim McIntosh – explained that the county addresses parking and parking regulations through the Transportation Engineering and Operations (TE&O) Bureau. The NC Program has no leverage to affect it, other than requesting an assessment of probable parking signage locations be made at an earlier point in the project process. The NCAC could consider having someone from TE&O come and speak at one of their monthly meetings on this topic.
2. Approval of Minutes from May 11, 2017 NCAC Meeting:
 - a. Bill Braswell - made a motion to include the written statement from Parker Harrington on the Williamsburg NC Plan / the motion was seconded by Rob Swennes

Aye – 22 / Nay – 1 / Abstain – 1
 - b. Rob Swennes - made a motion to approve the minutes / the motion was seconded by Frieda Kulish

The Minutes from the May 11, 2017 NCAC Meeting were Approved.
3. Officers and Staff Report
 - a. Tim McIntosh – reported that the Williamsburg NC Plan was approved by the Planning Commission earlier in the week, and will go to the County Board for approval on June 17.
 - b. Sarah McKinley – reported on the progress of NC Projects this year. There are currently 11 projects in construction this season, with the hope that these plus several more will be cleared out of the project cue by the end of the year. She noted that this was good news, as in the past, a typical year would not have accomplished as much.

Tim McIntosh – remarked that some of this is due to recent processes put in place for working on and completing projects by Ramzi Awwad, the Engineering Bureau Chief

4. Discussion / Action Items:

a. Williamsburg CA - N528/N542 Sycamore Street Project, Cost Overrun Report

Tim McIntosh presented the report to the NCAC for approval.

Questions/Comments:

- i. Rob Swennes – noted the water bio-retention component is no longer in the project design.

Tim McIntosh – explained that this was a design piece that was explored, but was determined not to be a good location one.

- ii. Regarding items that increased the project overall cost, Tim McIntosh explained that there are new traffic control plans and safety measures required on our projects, as well as additional maintenance of traffic and environmental protections required.
- iii. Bill Braswell made a motion for the Project Cost Overrun of \$634,649 to be approved by the NCAC. / the motion was seconded by Parker Harrington.

Aye – 25 / Nay – 0 / Abstain – 0

b. Water Pollution Control Plan Solids Master Plan Presentation

The presentation was made by Mary Strawn, DES Water Pollution Control Plan Operating Engineer/Manager

The waste treatment plant has 2 elements: liquid side and solid side. The solid side has very old equipment and needs to be replaced. This will be broken into phases with Phase 1 for immediate need, and then Phase 2 & 3 will complete the project later.

Questions/Comments:

- i. Sarah McKinley – asked if the county can sell the bio-solid output from the solid waste treatment plant, and is there a market for it?

Mary Strawn – responded that there are several possible revenue streams. As an example, Leesburg is making a bio-solid product which is of a quality that is sellable to commercial entities.

- ii. Wayne Quillin – asked how old the current facility is and how long should a new one last.

Mary Strawn – responded that the service life of a typical facility is around 70 years, and our oldest now is 40 to 50 years.

- iii. Sarah McKinley – asked in what way this Master Plan could be affected or delayed by maintenance/repairs/changes made to the Washington Aqueduct.

Mary Strawn – explained that the Washington Aqueduct is controlled by 3 voting jurisdictions: Arlington, Fairfax and DC., and is managed by the Army Corp of

Engineers. She does not know whether changes require approval by the U.S. Congress. As for affecting Arlington County's Master Plan, changes to the Aqueduct could push out implementation of our plan by a few years, but flexibility was built in to deal with that.

Mary also noted that neighboring Alexandria owns their sewer system pipes, but not their sewer treatment equipment. The rates Alexandria charge residents are on par with Arlington County's. Alexandria is also looking into greater investment in their sewer and sewage treatment systems.

- iv. Bill Braswell – asked if water from Arlington County's storm drain system is filtered through our water treatment plants.

Mary responded no.

- v. Deborah Wood – asked if the sewer treatment plant buildings will need to be changed.

Mary – explained that the project will require demolishing some of the existing buildings and there will be significant construction on the planned site.

5. Other/New Business:

a. Re-Evaluating the Proposed Project Point Sheet

- i. Tim McIntosh asked for a few NCAC volunteers to meet and work as a group on looking at possible changes to the current project point system. Anyone with interest or concerns should contact Tim by email at tmcintosh@arlingtonva.us

6. Meeting adjourned.