

DRAFT Minutes
December 8, 2016
Neighborhood Conservation Advisory Committee

1. Roll Call and Brief Neighborhood Report
2. Officers and Staff Report

Funding Round Discussion:

Terron Sims - What is the improvement for the Penrose project and are we cutting off a street? Darcey Cuffman - Yes, we are cutting off the slip lane. Offering entry and exit to the 7-11. At the Sequoia Center we hope to manage traffic better. There is also a slight elevation so we hope to improve that as well. We want to improve traffic flow. Eileen Janas - Concerned about the traffic from 2nd Street down to Washington Boulevard. It is a busy stretch from there to Arlington Boulevard. Sarah McKinley - They can still go from 2nd to South Uhle. Pete Durgan - That traffic is what makes the intersection difficult. The buses also have to navigate that. It should not be harder for the buses. Eileen Janas - There could possibly be bus changes. Rob Swennes - Is the intersection signalized currently? Where will the stop signs be? Pete Durgan - No, it is not currently signalized. The stop sign will be at Wayne and Uhle. Rob Swennes - Right of way in the street? Gino Squarciafico - About 40'. Sarah McKinley - Regarding the streetlight project in Foxcroft Heights, Southgate Road is going to be reconfigured, correct? Ritchie Singh - Yes, but it only goes to a certain point and there may be some sidewalk as part of that project, where the new road would be. Rob Swennes - Regarding the 31st Street project, is the sidewalk on the 395 side? Ed - Yes, there is sidewalk on the non- 395 side. Rob Swennes - Where the big bus terminal is there is sidewalk? Ed - It will connect to that bus stop terminal. Ed Hilz - Landscape cost? Jill Yutan - We have to remove about 30 trees and we will install new trees. Everything is invasive and we'll do a landscape plan for that. Jim Feaster - Shouldn't we just split the money in three? Edie Wilson - I'm glad we found out we had this money because we had no idea this money was there. We are surprised that we did not know about the money and we do have things we think we could use it on. Traffic calming is a huge issue in our neighborhood. Portia Clark - We were not aware of the funds either and we agree that this money should be divided evenly. We'd prefer the funds not be applied to the one project. Sarah McKinley - If we don't accept all the funds now, would it be difficult for it to be accessed? Edie Wilson- I think we would be able

to find a way to spend the money. Portia Clark - We need to figure out a way to control this money and talk with the county and get some guidelines. Tracie Morris - At the Points Committee, I don't know if the neighborhood had intended to use all the money, I think that was a surprise. Neighborhood Complete Streets may offer different opportunities for projects. There are a lot of issues we need to figure out here and why we need to spend this money. The conversation needs to be had with all the neighborhoods that have funding. Ed Hilz - There needs to be a list of all the developer funds and what the balance is. Bill Braswell - I think we have all the questions answered. We need to determine how we spend this money. Alexandra Bocian - We also talked at the Points Committee Meeting about how \$12M is not enough for the program. John Kirkpatrick - Motion to fund all four without even considering the developer money and Missing Link money. Tim Wise - I can't vote for this because of the Missing Link money. Rob Swennes - We are now telling DES we are not going to spend this money. John Snyder - I see this as a separate question. I think we need to answer that question at a future meeting. Rob Swennes - We may want to modify the motion that there would be some consideration of allocation of money towards the NC bond. Edie Wilson - That would have to be a separate motion. We can help them spend that money. We'd like the opportunity to spend that money as we would like. We need to have a conversation amongst ourselves. Eileen Janas - We should support all these projects because they are ready to go.

Motion to call the question and vote on John Kirkpatrick's motion - 28 in favor, 0 abstentions 0 opposed.

Vote on John Kirkpatrick's motion - 27 - in favor 1 opposed, 0 abstentions.