



DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY PLANNING, HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT
Neighborhood Services Division

Courthouse Plaza One 2100 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 700 Arlington, VA 22201
TEL 703.228.3830 FAX 703.228.3834 www.arlingtonva.us

DRAFT

MINUTES OF THE HISTORICAL AFFAIRS AND LANDMARK REVIEW BOARD

**Wednesday, May 21, 2014
2100 Clarendon Boulevard
Lobby Conference Rooms Cherry & Dogwood**

MEMBERS PRESENT: Joan Lawrence, Chairman
Charles Matta, Vice Chairman
Charles Craig
Robert Dudka
Darren Hannabass
Erin May
Tova Solo
Mark Turnbull
Nathan Uldricks
Patricia Weichmann-Morris
Andy Wenchel
Richard Woodruff

MEMBERS EXCUSED: Gerald Laporte
Kevin Vincent

STAFF: Cynthia Liccese-Torres, Preservation Coordinator
Rebeccah Ballo, Preservation Planner
John Liebertz, Preservation Planner

ROLL CALL & CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:35 pm. Mr. Liebertz called the roll and determined there was a quorum.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM THE APRIL 23, 2014, HALRB MEETING

The Chairman called for a motion or comments on the April meeting minutes. There were no comments. Mr. Turnbull moved to accept the meeting minutes as presented. Mr. Matta seconded and the motion passed 7-0-4 with Mr. Hannabass, Ms. Lawrence, Mr. Dudka, and Mr. Woodruff abstaining.

PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS (CoAs)

The Chairman stated that she would readdress the public hearing procedures prior to the discussion items.

The Chairman said there were four cases on the Consent Agenda and called for a motion. Mr. Craig moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Mr. Turnbull seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

- Consent Agenda:
- 1) 3511 22nd Street North
Merrick Hoben & Diana Bermudez
Maywood Historic District
HALRB Case 13-11C (HP1400015)
Request to amend previously approved CoA 13-11B. Proposed alterations include the replacement and relocation of the approved gas chimney flue and replacement of the Doric wood columns with turned wood posts.
 - 2) 2915 22nd Street North
Carter & Christie Ham
Maywood Historic District
HALRB Case 14-08 (HP1400013)
Request to: 1) construct a one-story garage and pergola; 2) install a stone wall with picket-top fence; 3) install brick or permeable concrete pavers; and 4) extend stone walkway to match existing patio.
 - 3) 3600 22nd Street North
Robert M. & Ellen Steen Greer
Maywood Historic District
HALRB Case 14-10 (HP1400016)
Request to make the following alterations: 1) on the east elevation of the ca. 1990 addition, remove and infill an existing wood window and install a paired double-hung, wood-sash window; 2) on the ca. 1992 addition, remove a single-leaf door, relocate a paired double-hung, wood-sash window, and install a new single-leaf wood door; 3) on the west elevation of the ca. 1992 addition, remove and infill an existing window, and install a new two-light casement window; 4) on the dwelling and garage, replace the existing asphalt shingle roof with a standing seam metal roof; and 5) install a vent towards the rear of the house.

- 4) 2821 23rd Street North
Clint Woodson
Maywood Historic District
HALRB Case 13-10B (HP1400017)
Request that the HALRB find the setback of the approved porch/stoop (CoA 13-10A) to be consistent with the historic district guidelines and direct the zoning administrator to grant a modification to the underlying setbacks.

Administrative CoA (ACoA): 1) None

Discussion Item #1: Woodbury Park Apartments (Lee Gardens North)

The Chairman welcomed the applicants. Representing the applicants were Michelle Chang (AHC Inc.), Caitlin Audette (EHT Traceries), Dave Draper (Collins, Kronstadt, O’Neil Architects), and Maureen Markham (Housing Division, Arlington County).

Ms. Chang provided a brief introduction to the project. She noted that this garden apartment complex was designed by Mihran Mesrobian in the late-1940s. In 1987, AHC Inc., purchased Woodbury Park and completed a minor renovation. The property was refinanced in 2005 as part of a site plan project. As part of the approval, Woodbury Park was listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Ms. Chang stated that the project will not require County funding, but will utilize state and Federal historic rehabilitation tax credits. The proposed work will include minor exterior improvements, two additions, and the creation of courtyards, pavilions, and other active and passive recreation areas.

Mr. Draper stated that the proposed work includes restoration of the existing brick. He noted that the original blonde-colored bricks consisted of molded mortar and were very fragile. Damage to the brick primarily consisted of moisture damage and spalling. In consulting with experts, Mr. Draper estimated that between 6-8% of the blonde brick will be replaced and the rest of the light-colored brick will be repaired. Other exterior changes include the replacement of the non-original windows that will reflect the window’s current design.

Mr. Draper noted that a 1988 renovation resulted in the removal of the original canopies at the entrances of the buildings. AHC, Inc. has proposed a new canopy that will recall the original canopy and the architectural vocabulary utilized in Mesrobian’s projects.

Mr. Draper stated that the two new additions consisted of a leasing office and amenities center that will serve as the entrance to the pavilion. The site of the proposed additions and courtyard currently has a trash compactor located behind the garden wall.

Mr. Matta asked questions regarding the original materials and proposed replacement material of the windows. Mr. Draper stated that only one original window remains intact and it is a steel casement window. He continued to explain that the proposed vinyl replacement windows will match the existing non-historic double-hung sash windows. Ms. Chang added that these windows were recently approved at Buckingham Village III.

Mr. Dudka inquired about the original configuration of the steel windows. Ms. Audette responded that the original configuration consisted of casement windows, but the proposed replacement windows will be six-over-six, double-hung, vinyl-sash with the grid appearance on both the lower and upper sash. Mr. Matta asked the applicants to explain the reasons for not returning to the original casement window configuration. Mr. Draper responded that casements are twice as expensive as the vinyl-sash double-hung and also are not as sturdy. Ms. Audette added that casement windows could cause problems for tenants on the ground level who have windows opening outward into usable open spaces.

Ms. Liccese-Torres asked the applicants if the original round windows would remain at each entrance. Mr. Draper stated that the round windows are evident at most entrances and would be preserved. Ms. Audette added that these windows were capped with glass block windows. While the glass block windows may not be original to the design of the building, the applicant will retain all the remaining glass blocks windows.

Mr. Hannabass asked about the material of the replacement blonde (light-colored) brick. Mr. Draper stated that it was a clay brick and that the applicants examined numerous samples in order to best match the existing color.

Mr. Craig asked about the existing supports for the entrance canopies. Mr. Draper stated that the piers were originally brick pilasters and are now in poor shape. In order to make the entrances more gracious, the supports will be clad with wood. He argued that this would improve the appearance without excessive repair and allow for a larger platform at the entrance.

Mr. Dudka asked questions about the fascia/panel with the building number on the proposed canopies. Mr. Draper stated that the fascia replicated those existing at Calvert Manor (another Mesrobian garden apartment building). Mr. Dudka responded that these questions about the cladding and fascia are suggesting that this design is giving a heaviness to the entrance that was not originally intended with the established Moderne style.

Ms. Ballo asked the applicants whether the Virginia Department of Historic Resources' (VDHR) had comments regarding the use of AZEK on the buildings. She noted that in local Arlington Historic Districts, AZEK would not be allowed in these proposed areas. Ms. Audette stated that VDHR and the National Park Service recently requested the use of wood trim instead and that they would comply. All drawings would be updated to reflect the change.

Mr. Dudka asked questions regarding the service courtyard and proposed pavilions. Ms. Audette responded that research suggests that the courtyard always served as a service area and never as a formal courtyard. Mr. Dudka suggested that the applicants attempt to better unify the design of the two proposed buildings with the garden apartments by decreasing the amount of glass and having the roofs expressed similarly to the apartments. Mr. Draper stated that he had considered making the buildings more solid. Mr. Dudka suggested the applicants further examine such designs in order to recall Mesrobian's balance and mix of Colonial Revival and Moderne elements. He added that the applicants should study other roof options that complement the existing historic buildings.

Mr. Wenchel asked the applicants to consider changing the muntin pattern of the proposed windows to better mimic the horizontal nature of a steel casement window. Mr. Draper stated that the historic photographs were unclear, but they would examine other similar properties. Ms. Audette stated that in other complexes, both steel casement and wood double-hung windows were often utilized in garden apartment construction. Therefore, the use of double-hung windows keeps with the established architectural vocabulary for garden apartment design.

The Chairman moved that the HALRB support the renovations to the Woodbury Park Apartments as proposed and that the applicants take into account the Board's suggestions. Mr. Turnbull seconded the motion and it passed unanimously. The Chairman thanked the applicants and stated that the Board will submit a letter in support of the project to the County Board.

Discussion Item #2: Public Hearing for Proposed Local Historic District Designation of Benjamin Elliott's Coal Trestle (Robert Shreve Fuel Company Elevated Siding), 6873 Lee Highway

The Chairman outlined the public hearing process and asked for public speaker slips for this item. Mr. Liebertz provided the staff report that included a description of the resource and basic historic background information. Staff determined that the structure meets Designation Criteria B, H, I and K as outlined in Section 11.3.4.A.4 of the Arlington County Zoning Ordinance.

The Chairman asked if there were any owner representatives present. Dan Iglhaut stated he was here on behalf of the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority (NVRPA) and that the NVRPA supported the proposed designation. The Chairman recognized that there were no owners or representatives present on behalf of the Robert Shreve Fuel Company, who are the other property owners. There were three additional public speakers.

Liz McGonigle, President of the Arlington-East Falls Church Civic Association, expressed the civic association's support for the preservation of the historic structure. Ms. McGonigle noted that the East Falls Church Area Plan calls for the preservation of the trestle.

Bernie Berne, resident of Arlington County, stated that he nominated the site for designation over four years ago. He thanked Mr. Liebertz for the excellent designation report and provided a brief history of the trestle. Mr. Berne noted: 1) the use of the site/structure for both coal and fuel oil; 2) the importance of the remaining tracks in relation to the history of the W&OD Railroad; 3) the visibility of the tracks during all seasons; and 4) the recent removal of the fuel oil tanks. Mr. Berne stated that he believed the site should be preserved and serve as an educational exhibit. In addition, he was concerned about the current by-right development proposal on the Shreve property and the potential loss of the coal trestle.

Lee Edgar, a resident of Falls Church, supported the designation of the coal trestle as a local historic district. Mr. Edgar recognized the unique opportunity to preserve a piece of our local and industrial history. He stated that the preservation of simpler industrial structures are equally important to architectural landmarks when interpreting our history and culture. He added that the visibility of the site on the W&OD Trail serves as an opportunity to feature the utilitarian structure to hundreds of users. Mr. Edgar relayed a personal story of how the presence of the coal trestle created an educational opportunity to explain to his daughter the importance of coal to the electrification of the country in the early-20th century. He contended

that the designation of the trestle should not be a tremendous detriment to the developer who previously recognized the structure as significant by means of its preservation in its now defunct site plan proposal.

Ms. Liccese-Torres recognized the contributions of Mr. Hannabass, who completed a structural assessment of the coal trestle as part of the designation research.

Mr. Dudka noted that the proposed boundaries of the historic district included only eleven bays while the model and plat showed twelve bays. Mr. Liebertz stated that was an oversight and he would add the omitted concrete pier to the proposed boundaries of the historic district.

The HALRB discussed the possible interpretation of the site, the size of the proposed historic district, and the extension of the district boundaries onto the NVRPA land to include the location of the former tracks. Mr. Dudka noted that the removal of the fuel tanks created space to create site access and interpretive development. Ms. Liccese-Torres stated that the limited size of the proposed boundary is due to the fact that there are joint owners and known development pressures. Ms. Solo suggested that the Board request the maximum potential boundary instead of limiting the proposed district to the trestle itself.

Ms. Liccese-Torres reminded the Board that any motion they make must be as specific as possible if they desire to expand the proposed district boundary. Mr. Liebertz added that the Board would need to justify the reasons for the expanded boundary in relation to the site's historic significance. Ms. Solo stated that the site required interpretive markers and other interactive educational materials. The Chairman responded that such interpretation can be determined after the designation of the trestle. Ms. Solo commented that these ideas should be considered when considering the limits of the boundaries.

Mr. Woodruff asked staff to explain the downside of expanding the boundaries and whether the Robert Shreve Fuel Company was in favor of the designation. Ms. Liccese-Torres responded that the designation was being pursued in tandem with the now-withdrawn site plan for the Shreve property, that the Shreve owners seemed very supportive of the designation during the site plan process, and that both sets of property owners had been invited to tonight's meeting and provided with all the designation report materials in advance. The Shreve owners, however, have not contacted staff since the release of the designation report. She added that the Shreve owners are now pursuing a by-right development proposal that will result in the demolition of the trestle on their parcel. Mr. Iglhaut added that the NVRPA received a letter from the adjacent property owner (Shreve) stating they intended to demolish the portion of the trestle on their parcel. The NVRPA responded (via letter) that the organization opposed any demolition of the trestle and asked the owners to reconsider, but have yet to receive a response.

Mr. Woodruff asked the Board if the property owner had the ability to demolish by-right. Ms. Liccese-Torres stated that they are able to demolish by-right since the trestle is not technically a building, but it is assumed it will require additional clearing and grading related permits. Mr. Hannabass added that environmental impacts and land disturbance should be part of the permitting process.

The Chairman reminded the HALRB of the process moving forward. The designation needs to occur quickly due to the County Board's upcoming recess in August. She added that in 2011 the

County Board approved the East Falls Church Area Plan that recommended designation of the coal trestle as a local historic district.

Mr. Dudka sensed that there would be support in the community for the designation. He added that individuals need to be reminded that the W&OD Trail once consisted of railroad tracks and this trestle is one of the last remaining visual reminders of its historic purpose.

Ms. Liccese-Torres asked Mr. Iglhaut if he had any initial reactions to extending the proposed historic district boundaries onto the Park Authority's property. Mr. Iglhaut stated that he did not foresee any potential issues, but would need to discuss it with his superiors. He further added that the use of additional Park Authority property for educational interpretation would not be prohibited if the boundaries were not expanded.

Mr. Dudka stated that there would be no issue with expanding the historic district boundaries in the future and opted to approve the most politically expedient boundary in order to save the structure. Mr. Woodruff shared similar sentiments.

Ms. Lawrence proposed a motion that:

The HALRB finds that the Benjamin Elliott's Coal Trestle meets Designation Criteria B, H, I, and K as set forth in the designation report and that the Board recommends designation of the trestle located on RPC # 11010011 (Shreve property) and #11065001 (NVRPA property) as a local historic district as shown in Figure 31 [of the designation report] with an extension of the district to encompass a former railroad track on park authority property, subject to park authority approval.

Mr. Turnbull seconded the motion and it passed 10-0-1 with Mr. Hannabass abstaining. The Board continued to discuss potential historic interpretation of the site and the recommended boundaries after the motion passed. Ms. Liccese-Torres requested that the NVRPA share their existing boundary survey and Mr. Iglhaut agreed.

Discussion Agenda:

- 1) 5800 Washington Boulevard
Faron Carter on behalf of Arlington Public Schools.
Swanson Middle School Historic District
HALRB Case 14-09 (HP1400014)
Request to replace six round arched windows.

Discussion Agenda #1: Swanson Middle School Historic District

The Chairman introduced the case and stated that the application had been presented to the Design Review Committee (DRC) in May, but the applicants submitted an incomplete application. Therefore, the DRC had not made a recommendation regarding the application; staff asked that the case be placed on the discussion agenda. She added that the Board had limited opportunity to review the proposed materials, but consented to hear the item now due to Arlington Public Schools' (APS) limited opportunity to order and install the windows this summer.

On behalf of APS, Mark Waszczak (APS Project Manager), Faron Carter (TMG Construction Project Manager), and Jason Lynch (TMG Construction Senior Project Manager), introduced the proposed project. Mr. Carter stated that there are six windows on the gymnasium that face Patrick Henry Drive. APS sought to replace the steel windows due to their condition, presence of hazardous materials including lead paint and asbestos, rate of deterioration, and inoperability. APS proposes to replace the windows with aluminum windows similar to the replacement windows installed elsewhere at Swanson Middle School prior to local historic district designation in 2008. The proposed windows will maintain the Colonial Revival appearance, match the profile of the historic steel windows to the greatest possible extent, and provide the desired energy efficiency and operability. Mr. Carter showed a sample of the proposed aluminum window and asked the Board to examine the drawings depicting the proposed profiles.

Ms. Solo asked why steel windows already were replaced in part of the school. Mr. Liebertz replied that those windows were replaced prior to the historic designation of the building.

Mr. Liebertz presented the staff report. He stated that due to the cost of hazardous material abatement and repair to the steel frames, staff recommended the replacement of the windows. He asked APS to further explain the rationale for replacing the windows with aluminum instead of steel. Mr. Lynch stated that Hope Steel Windows (Landmark 175 series) would cost a total of \$50,000-\$60,000, without additional costs for glazing, demolition, hazmat removal, labor, etc. Mr. Liebertz asked for the total cost to replace the steel windows with aluminum windows. Mr. Carter responded that the approved budget was \$78,000. Mr. Liebertz stated that due to the associated costs of installing steel windows, staff supported the replacement of the windows with aluminum frame windows as they adequately recall the intent of the original design.

The Chairman asked what aspect of the window had asbestos. Mr. Waszczak responded that the asbestos is in the glazing and the caulk.

Mr. Matta commented that the aluminum profile windows would not sufficiently replicate the original design of the steel windows based on the sample shown. Mr. Dudka stated that the profiles in the shop drawings attempt to replicate the original window's profile. Mr. Matta asked if the applicants were proposing a single window with applied grids. The applicants confirmed. Ms. Weichmann-Morris asked about the width of the applied grids. The applicants discussed the proposed widths and referred to the shop drawings.

Mr. Dudka asked further questions regarding the possibility of utilizing aluminum spacer bars to better replicate the existing design of the window and create the appearance of a multi-light sash. He added that the cost increase would be limited and noted the HALRB requires true simulated divided lights for the majority of projects within historic districts. He requested the applicants examine the cost of adding simulated divided lights. Mr. Carter stated that the budget for the project is fixed and adding additional costs places the completion of the project in question.

Mr. Dudka had questions regarding the hazmat and disposal process. He stated that there is a market for steel windows and individuals who are willing to repair them. He recommended looking into such possibilities as this could give the existing windows a second life. Mr.

Waszczak stated that regulations and liability issues with the school would likely preclude the salvage of the windows.

The Board asked questions regarding the repair of the existing windows instead of replacement. Mr. Carter stated that the cost to repair these windows consisted of a costly in-place abatement and sending the windows to restoration specialists in Alabama. Furthermore, the cost and time needed to abate the windows in place in preparation for repair was not available as there is only a limited window of time when the gymnasium is not in use (i.e. camp, summer school, etc.). Mr. Liebertz added that staff concurred with APS's assessment regarding the impracticality of repairing these windows, but acknowledged repair is likely physical possible.

Mr. Matta stated that this building is a 75-year old school and that the round arched windows are the character-defining feature of the building. Therefore, as a historic preservation Board, he strongly recommended the HALRB advocate for the replacement of the steel windows in-kind. He contended that the cost difference between the steel and aluminum windows was not drastic. Mr. Lynch responded that the cost of the steel windows was already \$60,000. Mr. Matta asked the applicant to provide the total cost. Mr. Carter stated that to install the steel windows would cost \$220,000. Mr. Dudka and Mr. Matta asked for a more detailed explanation of the costs and questioned that estimate of installation. Mr. Waszczak stated that the labor costs to install the steel windows would be far greater than currently proposed and APS lacks the time to complete such a project due to the continued use of the building. He noted that the window replacement project would not occur if the Board failed to approve the use of aluminum windows.

Mr. Lynch stated that the total cost difference would be approximately \$142,000 to use steel windows instead of aluminum. He reminded the Board that labor costs would be tripled. Ms. Solo agreed and stated that the installation of each individual window pane would be cost prohibitive. She contended that the applied grids do not detract from the historic nature of the building and the proposal still retains the look and the feel of the building.

Mr. Matta requested staff spend additional time researching the cost of replacing the steel windows in-kind in order to confirm the estimated cost. He added that the lead and asbestos abatement is a requirement of both scenarios.

Mr. Lynch stated that the replacement of the windows in-kind would delay the project for an indeterminate period, thereby leaving the lead/asbestos in place. The replacement of the windows with aluminum allows for the hazardous materials to be removed this summer.

Mr. Matta contended that historic preservation considerations need to be applied in the same fashion as they are applied for all other projects in the County. The HALRB does not allow for the replacement of wood windows with alternative materials due to associated costs in other local historic districts. He urged the Board to request staff to further research the cost of replacement before arriving at a decision. Mr. Woodruff agreed that the APS and Arlington County should abide by the same standards and requirements as homeowners in other local historic districts (such as Maywood) when they are completing historic preservation projects. He added that the reasons given by APS are not sufficient to replace the windows with an alternative material. He understood, however, that there may not be a practical solution, but

the Board needs to consider all options and have accurate estimated costs before consenting to such a replacement.

Mr. Dudka suggested the County establish a historic preservation budget for County buildings.

Mr. Matta asked APS to present additional information at a subsequent Board meeting. Mr. Dudka understood the school's time constraints, but with better planning, the installation of the steel windows could occur next summer. Regarding the additional costs of the windows, he added that APS and the County Board recognized that additional costs would be necessary when they both consented to the designation of the property as a local historic district.

Mr. Matta moved that:

The Board defers the decision two weeks for the contractor to produce a true and accurate [cost] estimate and schedule to install an aluminum frame and matching steel frame windows for the six historic windows on the gymnasium of Swanson Middle School. In the meantime, staff can examine costs. The contractor can come to DRC in two weeks with an accurate proposal and the DRC can make a recommendation to the Board.

Ms. Liccese-Torres stated that the preservation staff does not have the resources to prepare such an analysis in the next two weeks. Mr. Woodruff asked if we can defer until next month. Ms. Ballo reminded the Board to provide a detailed explanation of all information the applicants need to produce for the subsequent meeting if the HALRB decides to defer the case. Mr. Dudka stated that DRC should not be making such a decision for the Board and requested the item be heard by the full Board instead. Mr. Woodruff shared similar sentiments. Mr. Lynch responded that deferring two weeks or four weeks will postpone the project indefinitely.

Mr. Woodruff seconded the motion with an amendment. He requested the item return to the full Board in June with the same information requested by Mr. Matta. Mr. Matta confirmed that the applicants need to provide a detailed work schedule and cost estimates for replacing the steel windows in-kind. He asked that they also contact the manufacturer to determine the actual costs associated with installing the window and if they were able to install the glazing. Mr. Dudka further amended the motion to include a cost estimate for an aluminum window with a simulated divided light with a muntin spacer bar. Mr. Matta accepted both amendments. Ms. Weichmann-Morris seconded the motion. The motion passed 9-3. The Chairman, Mr. Turnbull, and Ms. Solo voted against the motion.

The Chairman proposed that HALRB communicate directly with APS and the County Board on this matter to investigate the availability of additional funds for the historic preservation of the County's local historic districts, including Swanson Middle School. Ms. Liccese-Torres requested the Board open conversation with APS in order to discuss the future maintenance and financial aspects of historic preservation. The Chairman noted that Arlington County and APS adopted the historic zoning overlay and the design guidelines for the district with the understanding of the associated costs. Thereby, the HALRB needs to protect their historic resources as directed. Mr. Waszczak thanked the Board and agreed that further discussion regarding historic preservation and financial constraints at Swanson Middle School needs to be addressed.

REPORTS OF CHAIRMAN, STAFF AND STANDING COMMITTEES

- A) Chairman’s Report: The Chairman recognized Mr. Hannabass for his dedication to the HALRB and thanked him for serving on the Board. His term concludes at the end of the month. The Chairman also welcomed new Board member Tova Solo. She introduced herself to the Board.
- B) Survey Report: None.
- C) Site Plan Review Reports: The Chairman provided a brief description of the proposed site plan for and recent site visit to Park Shirlington. Mr. Vincent will attend all future meetings regarding this site plan on behalf of the HALRB.

The Chairman noted that APS is considering the demolition of Wilson School as part of the recently revived WRAPS study. Mr. Laporte will attend all future meetings regarding this study on behalf of the HALRB.

Ms. Ballo stated that the architects of the Rappahannock Coffee site on Columbia Pike attended DRC last month and presented their proposed design. Their team will return to DRC in July.

Ms. Liccese-Torres stated that staff presented to the Envision Courthouse working group prior to the HALRB meeting this evening. The next community workshop is scheduled to be held during the summer. Mr. Uldricks confirmed that he would attend future community meetings on behalf of the HALRB.

- D) Staff and other Reports: The Chairman briefly discussed Preservation Arlington’s roundtable event held on May 13. Ms. Liccese-Torres and Ms. Lawrence both served on the discussion panel.

The meeting adjourned at 10:30 pm.